

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,226	07/28/2003	Jurgis Astrauskas	1007-0562 4748	
7590 07/25/2006			EXAMINER	
Maginot, Moore & Beck LLP			TRAN, DZUNG D	
-	Chase Tower, Suite 3250 111 Monument Circle			PAPER NUMBER
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5109			2613	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/628,226	ASTRAUSKAS, JURGIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dzung D. Tran	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ily 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
555 the attached actained control of a not	J				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/628,226

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyer et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,933,812.

Regarding claim 7, Meyer discloses in Figures 1 and 17A, a method/apparatus for bi-directional optical communication with a device external to the probe, the probe comprising:

an optical transmitter D2 for mounting in proximity to an external device (e.g., computer 60), the optical transmitter including a high intensity light emitting diode (LED) that generates light pulses in accordance with a data signal (col. 17, lines 22-23); and

an optical receiver Q1 for mounting in proximity to the external device (e.g., computer 60), the optical receiver for generating an electrical data signal from an optical data signal impinging upon the optical receiver (col. 17, lines 21-22).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 8, Meyer discloses the sensitive phototransistor is stimulated to generate current in response to light in the range of 10 to 30 lx (col. 20, lines 30-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,933,812 in view of Baker et al. U.S. Patent no. 7,019,492.

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 13, Meyer discloses in Figures 1 and 17A, an apparatus for bi-directional optical communication with a device external to the probe, the probe comprising:

an optical transmitter D2 for mounting in proximity to an external device (e.g., computer 60), the optical transmitter including a high intensity light emitting diode (LED) that generates light pulses in accordance with a data signal (col. 17, lines 22-23); and

an optical receiver Q1 for mounting in proximity to the external device (e.g., computer 60), the optical receiver for generating an electrical data signal from an optical data signal impinging upon the optical receiver (col. 17, lines 21-22).

Meyer does not specifically disclose the optical transmitter including a high intensity light emitting diode (LED).

Application/Control Number: 10/628,226

Art Unit: 2613

Baker discloses in Figure 2, an optical transmitter 90 including a high intensity light emitting diode (LED) (col. 3, lines 60-67).

At the time of the invention was make, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been obvious to replace the well known high intensity light emitting diode (LED) taught by Baker with the LED D2 in the apparatus of Meyer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to illuminate the supper bright light which is more visible than a normal LED.

Regarding claims 2, 11 and 14, whether or not to set the high intensity LED generates light more intense than the light generated by an indicator light of an appliance is merely an engineering design choices. At the time of the invention was make, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to met the requirement of the apparatus.

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 15, wherein the high intensity LED generates light that is more intense than a standard LED is well known in the art.

Regarding claims 4, 12 and 16, Baker discloses the high intensity LED generates light in the range of 6,000 to 10,000 millicandelas (col. 3, lines 63-67) that is in the range of approximately 8000 millicandelas to approximately 31,000 millicandelas.

Regarding claim 5, Meyer discloses the optical receiver further comprising: a sensitive phototransistor for generating the electrical data signal (col. 17, lines 21-22).

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Meyer discloses the sensitive phototransistor generates a collector photo current of 10 mA (col. 20, lines 30-33) which approximately 5 to 15 mA in response to a light pulse of 100 lx.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,226 Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 17, Meyer discloses the sensitive phototransistor is stimulated to generate current in response to light in the range of 10 to 30 lx (col. 20, lines 30-31).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Momot et al. U.S. Patent no. 4,806,958. Cassette/machine optically coupled interface
- b. Pavelchek U.S. Publication no. 2005/0276608. Establishment and maintenance of optical link between optical transceiver nodes in free space optical communication networks
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung D Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,226 Page 6

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dzung Tran 07/18/2006

zung dram